135 HART SENATE OFFICE BUILDING WASHINGTON, DC 20510–1501 (202) 224–3744 www.grassley.senate.gov

721 FEDERAL BUILDING 210 WALNUT STREET DES MOINES, IA 50309–2106 (515) 288–1145

111 7TH AVENUE, SE, BOX 13 SUITE 6800 CEDAR RAPIDS, IA 52401–2101 (319) 363–6832

United States Senate

CHARLES E. GRASSLEY
PRESIDENT PRO TEMPORE EMERITUS
WASHINGTON, DC 20510–1501

May 25, 2023

120 FEDERAL BUILDING 320 6TH STREET SIOUX CITY, IA 51101–1244 (712) 233–1860

210 WATERLOO BUILDING 531 COMMERCIAL STREET WATERLOO, IA 50701–5497 (319) 232–6657

201 WEST 2ND STREET SUITE 720 DAVENPORT, IA 52801–1817 (563) 322–4331

2146 27TH AVENUE SUITE 550 COUNCIL BLUFFS, IA 51501–6985 (712) 322–7103

The Honorable Xavier Becerra Secretary of Health and Human Services U.S. Department of Health and Human Services 200 Independence Avenue, S.W. Washington, DC 20201

The Honorable Tom Vilsack Secretary of Agriculture U.S. Department of Agriculture 1400 Independence Ave., S.W. Washington, DC 20250

Dear Secretary Becerra and Secretary Vilsack,

I appreciate your response to my concerns about conflicts of interest that may exist among members of the 2025-2030 Dietary Guidelines Advisory Committee (DGAC). While your letter acknowledges some progress Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) and Department of Agriculture (USDA) have made toward greater transparency, I request that DGAC suspend writing the new recommendations until DGAC comes into full compliance with the recommendations made by the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine (NASEM) in their 2017 report to Congress.

NASEM's report, which was mandated by Congress, stated in recommendation three that the HHS and the USDA should be, "publicly posting a policy and form to explicitly disclose financial and non-financial biases and conflicts," of committee members. While members of the committee have voluntarily agreed to disclose their financial relationships, activities, and interests, the link supplied in your letter aggregates the conflicts. Conflict of interests are not imputed to other members of the committee. Conflicts are specific to individual committee members, and information on these individual conflicts is needed to determine their significance. This rightfully leads the public to call into question the objectivity of the guidelines.

The 2023 NASEM Report continues to point to flaws in the Dietary Guidelines for America process. The report states that, "opportunities remain to improve the deliberative nature, transparency, rigor, and integrity of the DGA process as well as the ability of the DGA to address the needs of the diverse U.S. population." If you continue to ignore these recommendations, you will again fail to deliver nutrition recommendations that policymakers, healthcare professionals, educators, and families across the country can rely on. Ignoring these recommendations

^{1.} National Academies of Sciences, Engineering and Medicine, Optimizing the Process for Establishing the Dietary Guidelines for Americans The Selection Process (2017), Pg 10, on file with staff.

^{2.} National Academies of Sciences, Engineering and Medicine, Evaluating the Process to Develop the Dietary Guidelines for Americans 2020-2025: Final Report (2023), Pg 12, on file with staff

has become a pattern that goes against the will of Congress, and prevents HHS and USDA from transparent policy making.

While I acknowledge that the confidential financial disclosures referenced in your letter are not accessible to the public, they are not exempt from congressional oversight. The Privacy Act and the Ethics in Government Act do not restrict congressional inquiries. Therefore, I again ask you to provide me with the DGAC's Confidential Financial Disclosure Reports (OGE 450), a key step in gaining the trust of Congress, and the public, in these important guidelines.

In your letter, you also note that where disclosed information presents an actual or potential conflict of interest, the special government employee (SGE) may be recused or disqualified from the matter. However, you note that a waiver of the potential conflict of interest is available. In other words, this could mean that significant conflicts of interest or the appearance of conflicts of interest were allowed to exist because an agency ethics official determined the committee member's advice was important enough to offset the conflict. I requested this information on SGEs, including information on ethics waivers granted to them from HHS and USDA in March, but neither department has responded. So I reiterate that request and ask that you respond in a timely manner to congressional requests.

Congress and the American people deserve transparency and accountability from the people who are writing our future dietary guidelines. Please answer and provide the requested information no later than June 8, 2023.

Sincerely,

Charles E. Grassley United States Senator