REPLY TO:

135 HART SENATE OFFICE BUILDING WASHINGTON, DC 20510–1501 (202) 224–3744 e-mail: grassley.senate.gov/contact.cfm

 721 FEDERAL BUILDING 210 WALNUT STREET DES MOINES, IA 50309–2140 (515) 288–1145

150 1st Avenue NE Suite 325 Cedar Rapids, IA 52401 (319) 363–6832 United States Senate

CHARLES E. GRASSLEY WASHINGTON, DC 20510–1501

February 7, 2012

REPLY TO:

- 103 FEDERAL COURTHOUSE BUILDING 320 6TH STREET SIOUX CITY, IA 51101–1244 (712) 233–1860
- 210 WATERLOO BUILDING 531 COMMERCIAL STREET WATERLOO, IA 50701–5497 (319) 232–6657
- 131 WEST 3RD STREET SUITE 180 DAVENPORT, IA 52801–1419 (563) 322–4331
- 307 FEDERAL BUILDING 8 SOUTH 6TH STREET COUNCIL BLUFFS, IA 51501–4204 (712) 322–7103

The Honorable Kathleen Sebelius Secretary, U.S. Department of Health & Human Services 200 Independence Avenue, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20201

Dear Secretary Sebelius,

I write to express serious reservations with the rule issued by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) on January 20, 2012, mandating that religious-affiliated charities, schools, and hospitals provide coverage for controversial contraceptive products. This decision would force many groups, including charities, schools, and hospitals, to provide coverage of contraceptive and abortifacient products despite strong objections to these drugs rooted in religious beliefs.

The federal government does not have the right to tell religious groups to provide a service that violates their faith. It is disturbing that under the broad HHS requirement and narrow exemption, religious affiliated organizations will face a choice that Americans should not confront: adhere to their freedom of conscience or pay a fine of up to \$2,000 per employee. As currently written, this mandate will result in litigation that could be avoided if HHS issued a regulation that showed greater respect for religious freedom.

This rule highlights this Administration's continued invasive role in designing the health care benefits available to Americans and underscores one of the numerous concerns Americans have with the Affordable Care Act. That the definition of a preventative benefit services has morphed into a requirement to force Americans to buy a product that violates their conscience demonstrates the dramatic overreach of the law into Americans' personal freedoms and liberties. This burdensome and morally dubious regulation stands against more than 200 years of our nation's proud history of religious and individual liberty. I strongly urge you to rescind this rule and ensure that any future issuance of a revised rule respects the conscience of not only medical providers, but healthcare consumers and faith-based organizations as well.

Sincerely,

Gracele

Charles E. Grassley Committee Assignments:

> AGRICULTURE BUDGET FINANCE

CO-CHAIRMAN, INTERNATIONAL NARCOTICS CONTROL CAUCUS

RANKING MEMBER, JUDICIARY

PRINTED ON RECYCLED PAPER