



**United States Government Accountability Office**  
**Washington, DC 20548**

---

February 4, 2009

The Honorable Max Baucus  
Chairman  
Committee on Finance  
United States Senate

**Subject: *Estimated Temporary Medicaid Funding Allocations Related to Section 5001 of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act***

Dear Mr. Chairman,

You asked us to estimate the state allocations that would likely occur in the Federal Medical Assistance Percentage (FMAP) for the Medicaid funding included in Section 5001 of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, which is currently being debated by the Senate. The following information responds to your request for state-by-state, quarter-by-quarter estimates of the Medicaid funding states would receive under the section of the proposed legislation that temporarily increases the FMAP.

The legislation currently being considered in the Senate would provide

- an increase in each state's FMAP of 7.6 percentage points for the Recession Adjustment Period identified in the legislation (the period from the first quarter of fiscal year 2009 through the end of the first quarter of fiscal year 2011), and
- additional FMAP assistance based on increased unemployment as defined by the qualifying criteria outlined in the legislation if the state's unemployment rate increases
  - at least 1.5 percentage points, but less than 2.5 percentage points (tier 1),
  - at least 2.5 percentage points, but less than 3.5 percentage points (tier 2), or
  - at least 3.5 percentage points (tier 3).<sup>1</sup>

To provide you with technical assistance, we developed an estimate of Medicaid funding for the Recession Adjustment Period that would be provided based on the parameters in the proposed legislation in order to estimate temporary Medicaid funding allocations. For the first quarter of fiscal year 2009, we used actual unemployment rates from the Bureau of Labor Statistics Local Area Unemployment Statistics. To estimate states' future unemployment rates, we relied on data from IHS Global Insight, an economic forecasting firm. Projections of state unemployment rates may or may not correspond to the levels of unemployment states will actually experience in the future. We used Medicaid data from the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) to estimate states' funding allocations. States submit these data to CMS and they represent states' anticipated Medicaid funding requirements for the current

---

<sup>1</sup>These provisions are explained in additional detail in Section 5001 of the proposed legislation.

and next fiscal year. These data may or may not correspond to future Medicaid expenditures. See Enclosure 1 for estimates of each state's approximate Medicaid allocation.

---

We are sending copies of this letter to interested congressional committees. We will also make copies available to others upon request. In addition, the letter will be available at no charge on GAO's Web site at <http://www.gao.gov>.

If you or your staff has any questions about this letter, please contact Stanley J. Czerwinski, Director, Strategic Issues, who can be reached at (202) 512-6806 or [czerwinskis@gao.gov](mailto:czerwinskis@gao.gov), or Thomas J. McCool, Director, Center for Economics, who can be reached at (202) 512-2700 or [mccoolt@gao.gov](mailto:mccoolt@gao.gov).

Sincerely yours,



Stanley J. Czerwinski  
Director, Strategic Issues



Thomas J. McCool  
Director, Center for Economics

Enclosures

**Estimated Temporary Federal Allocations to States under Section 5001 of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (Dollars in Billions)**

	2009 Q1	2009 Q2	2009 Q3	2009 Q4	2010 Q1	2010 Q2	2010 Q3	2010 Q4	2011 Q1	Total Allocation
<b>Alabama</b>	0.090	0.090	0.098	0.098	0.103	0.103	0.103	0.103	0.103	0.891
<b>Alaska</b>	0.024	0.024	0.027	0.027	0.026	0.026	0.026	0.026	0.026	0.233
<b>Arizona</b>	0.202	0.219	0.219	0.219	0.235	0.235	0.235	0.235	0.235	2.031
<b>Arkansas</b>	0.070	0.077	0.084	0.084	0.098	0.098	0.098	0.098	0.098	0.806
<b>California</b>	1.159	1.159	1.159	1.159	1.189	1.189	1.189	1.189	1.189	10.579
<b>Colorado</b>	0.078	0.087	0.097	0.097	0.100	0.100	0.100	0.100	0.100	0.858
<b>Connecticut</b>	0.129	0.129	0.143	0.143	0.144	0.144	0.144	0.144	0.129	1.250
<b>Delaware</b>	0.027	0.030	0.030	0.034	0.039	0.039	0.039	0.035	0.035	0.308
<b>District of Columbia</b>	0.032	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.036	0.036	0.036	0.036	0.036	0.316
<b>Florida</b>	0.469	0.469	0.469	0.469	0.476	0.476	0.476	0.476	0.476	4.256
<b>Georgia</b>	0.175	0.190	0.190	0.190	0.204	0.204	0.204	0.204	0.204	1.766
<b>Hawaii</b>	0.036	0.036	0.040	0.040	0.041	0.041	0.041	0.041	0.041	0.358
<b>Idaho</b>	0.030	0.033	0.033	0.033	0.037	0.037	0.037	0.037	0.037	0.313
<b>Illinois</b>	0.269	0.300	0.300	0.300	0.321	0.321	0.321	0.321	0.321	2.774
<b>Indiana</b>	0.146	0.159	0.159	0.159	0.170	0.170	0.170	0.170	0.170	1.473
<b>Iowa</b>	0.057	0.057	0.057	0.066	0.070	0.070	0.077	0.077	0.077	0.607
<b>Kansas</b>	0.044	0.051	0.051	0.056	0.056	0.056	0.056	0.056	0.056	0.480
<b>Kentucky</b>	0.108	0.117	0.117	0.126	0.127	0.127	0.127	0.127	0.127	1.102
<b>Louisiana</b>	0.134	0.144	0.144	0.153	0.233	0.233	0.233	0.233	0.233	1.742
<b>Maine</b>	0.048	0.052	0.052	0.057	0.056	0.056	0.056	0.056	0.056	0.490
<b>Maryland</b>	0.146	0.164	0.164	0.164	0.193	0.193	0.193	0.173	0.173	1.564
<b>Massachusetts</b>	0.286	0.323	0.359	0.359	0.338	0.338	0.338	0.338	0.338	3.016
<b>Michigan</b>	0.233	0.256	0.256	0.256	0.259	0.259	0.259	0.259	0.259	2.294
<b>Minnesota</b>	0.189	0.210	0.210	0.210	0.225	0.225	0.225	0.225	0.225	1.944

Enclosure I

	2009 Q1	2009 Q2	2009 Q3	2009 Q4	2010 Q1	2010 Q2	2010 Q3	2010 Q4	2011 Q1	Total Allocation
<b>Mississippi</b>	0.075	0.088	0.093	0.093	0.102	0.102	0.102	0.102	0.102	0.861
<b>Missouri</b>	0.150	0.166	0.181	0.181	0.195	0.195	0.195	0.195	0.195	1.655
<b>Montana</b>	0.019	0.019	0.020	0.020	0.022	0.022	0.022	0.022	0.022	0.189
<b>Nebraska</b>	0.031	0.031	0.035	0.035	0.041	0.041	0.041	0.041	0.041	0.337
<b>Nevada</b>	0.046	0.046	0.046	0.046	0.049	0.049	0.049	0.049	0.049	0.429
<b>New Hampshire</b>	0.022	0.026	0.026	0.029	0.032	0.032	0.032	0.032	0.032	0.260
<b>New Jersey</b>	0.189	0.213	0.237	0.237	0.257	0.257	0.257	0.257	0.231	2.134
<b>New Mexico</b>	0.062	0.069	0.075	0.075	0.084	0.084	0.084	0.084	0.078	0.695
<b>New York</b>	1.099	1.239	1.379	1.379	1.447	1.447	1.447	1.447	1.447	12.332
<b>North Carolina</b>	0.239	0.261	0.261	0.261	0.277	0.277	0.277	0.277	0.277	2.406
<b>North Dakota</b>	0.011	0.011	0.011	0.013	0.016	0.016	0.016	0.014	0.014	0.123
<b>Ohio</b>	0.288	0.318	0.348	0.348	0.359	0.359	0.359	0.359	0.359	3.097
<b>Oklahoma</b>	0.086	0.095	0.103	0.111	0.126	0.126	0.126	0.126	0.126	1.025
<b>Oregon</b>	0.085	0.093	0.093	0.093	0.095	0.095	0.095	0.095	0.095	0.840
<b>Pennsylvania</b>	0.369	0.413	0.413	0.457	0.481	0.481	0.481	0.481	0.481	4.054
<b>Rhode Island</b>	0.049	0.049	0.049	0.049	0.050	0.050	0.050	0.050	0.050	0.445
<b>South Carolina</b>	0.095	0.102	0.102	0.102	0.101	0.101	0.101	0.101	0.101	0.908
<b>South Dakota</b>	0.013	0.013	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.016	0.016	0.016	0.016	0.134
<b>Tennessee</b>	0.168	0.183	0.183	0.183	0.188	0.188	0.188	0.188	0.188	1.656
<b>Texas</b>	0.536	0.536	0.587	0.587	0.657	0.657	0.657	0.657	0.657	5.533
<b>Utah</b>	0.034	0.038	0.041	0.041	0.040	0.040	0.040	0.040	0.040	0.354
<b>Vermont</b>	0.025	0.028	0.030	0.030	0.033	0.033	0.033	0.033	0.033	0.280
<b>Virginia</b>	0.130	0.146	0.146	0.146	0.175	0.175	0.175	0.175	0.175	1.442
<b>Washington</b>	0.173	0.193	0.214	0.214	0.245	0.245	0.245	0.245	0.245	2.020
<b>West Virginia</b>	0.048	0.048	0.053	0.057	0.057	0.061	0.061	0.061	0.057	0.503
<b>Wisconsin</b>	0.109	0.126	0.140	0.154	0.157	0.157	0.157	0.157	0.157	1.315

Enclosure I

	2009 Q1	2009 Q2	2009 Q3	2009 Q4	2010 Q1	2010 Q2	2010 Q3	2010 Q4	2011 Q1	Total Allocation
<b>Wyoming</b>	0.010	0.010	0.012	0.012	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.117
<b>Total</b>	8.338	8.971	9.387	9.503	10.090	10.096	10.103	10.078	10.027	86.593

Source: Data from Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS), Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), IHS Global Insight, and GAO analysis.

Note: GAO calculations based on unemployment rates from IHS Global Insight regional forecast, historical BLS data via Global Insight, and actual Federal Medical Assistance Percentage (FMAP) rates for 2008 through 2010. Years shown are federal fiscal years. Medicaid data are from the CMS Form 37. The CMS Form 37 is used to estimate federal Medicaid allocations. CMS Form 37 data are not available for fiscal year 2011. We used CMS Form 37 data for the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2010 as a proxy for the first quarter of fiscal year 2011. We relied on the methodology outlined in our 2006 report on strategies to help states address increased Medicaid expenditures during economic downturns to guide the development of these estimates. See GAO, *Medicaid: Strategies to Help States Address Increased Expenditures during Economic Downturns*, GAO-07-97 (Washington, D.C.: Oct. 18, 2006).

**Estimated Tiers of Additional Temporary Federal Allocations to States under Section 5001 of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act**

	2009 Q1	2009 Q2	2009 Q3	2009 Q4	2010 Q1	2010 Q2	2010 Q3	2010 Q4	2011 Q1
<b>Alabama</b>	2	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
<b>Alaska</b>	BASE	BASE	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
<b>Arizona</b>	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
<b>Arkansas</b>	BASE	1	2	2	3	3	3	3	3
<b>California</b>	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
<b>Colorado</b>	1	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
<b>Connecticut</b>	2	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	2
<b>Delaware</b>	1	2	2	3	3	3	3	2	2
<b>District of Columbia</b>	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
<b>Florida</b>	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
<b>Georgia</b>	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
<b>Hawaii</b>	2	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
<b>Idaho</b>	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
<b>Illinois</b>	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
<b>Indiana</b>	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
<b>Iowa</b>	BASE	BASE	BASE	1	1	1	2	2	2
<b>Kansas</b>	BASE	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2
<b>Kentucky</b>	1	2	2	3	3	3	3	3	3
<b>Louisiana</b>	1	2	2	3	3	3	3	3	3
<b>Maine</b>	1	2	2	3	3	3	3	3	3
<b>Maryland</b>	1	2	2	2	3	3	3	2	2
<b>Massachusetts</b>	1	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
<b>Michigan</b>	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
<b>Minnesota</b>	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3

Enclosure I

	2009 Q1	2009 Q2	2009 Q3	2009 Q4	2010 Q1	2010 Q2	2010 Q3	2010 Q4	2011 Q1
<b>Mississippi</b>	BASE	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
<b>Missouri</b>	1	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
<b>Montana</b>	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
<b>Nebraska</b>	BASE	BASE	1	1	2	2	2	2	2
<b>Nevada</b>	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
<b>New Hampshire</b>	BASE	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2
<b>New Jersey</b>	1	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	2
<b>New Mexico</b>	BASE	1	2	2	3	3	3	3	2
<b>New York</b>	1	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
<b>North Carolina</b>	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
<b>North Dakota</b>	BASE	BASE	BASE	1	2	2	2	1	1
<b>Ohio</b>	1	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
<b>Oklahoma</b>	BASE	1	2	3	3	3	3	3	3
<b>Oregon</b>	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
<b>Pennsylvania</b>	1	2	2	3	3	3	3	3	3
<b>Rhode Island</b>	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
<b>South Carolina</b>	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
<b>South Dakota</b>	BASE	BASE	1	1	1	2	2	2	2
<b>Tennessee</b>	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
<b>Texas</b>	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
<b>Utah</b>	BASE	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
<b>Vermont</b>	1	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
<b>Virginia</b>	1	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	3
<b>Washington</b>	1	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
<b>West Virginia</b>	BASE	BASE	1	2	2	3	3	3	2
<b>Wisconsin</b>	BASE	1	2	3	3	3	3	3	3

Enclosure I

	2009 Q1	2009 Q2	2009 Q3	2009 Q4	2010 Q1	2010 Q2	2010 Q3	2010 Q4	2011 Q1
<b>Wyoming</b>	BASE	BASE	1	1	2	2	2	2	2

Source: Data from Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS), IHS Global Insight, and GAO analysis.

Note: GAO calculations based on unemployment rates from IHS Global Insight Regional forecast (January 2009) and historical BLS data via Global Insight data base. Base indicates that the state does not receive additional funding allocations based on unemployment rate increases. Tiers 1, 2, and 3 reflect the qualifying criteria for additional relief based on states' unemployment rate increases as outlined in section 5001 of the proposed legislation. Tier 1 is for unemployment rate increases of at least 1.5 percentage points, but less than 2.5 percentage points. Tier 2 is for unemployment rate increases of at least at least 2.5 percentage points, but less than 3.5 percentage points. Tier 3 is for unemployment rate increases of at least 3.5 percentage points.

**GAO Contacts and Staff Acknowledgments**

For information about this letter, please contact Stanley J. Czerwinski, Director, Strategic Issues, who can be reached at (202) 512-6806 or [czerwinskis@gao.gov](mailto:czerwinskis@gao.gov), or Thomas J. McCool, Director, Center for Economics, at (202) 512-2700 or [mccoolt@gao.gov](mailto:mccoolt@gao.gov). Contact points for our Offices of Congressional Relations and Public Affairs may be found on the last page of this letter. Individuals making key contributions to this letter and related products include: Robert Dinkelmeyer, Greg Dybalski, Susan J. Irving, Thomas James, Michelle Sager, Max Sawicky, Michael Springer, and Carolyn L. Yocom.

(450740)

---

---

This is a work of the U.S. government and is not subject to copyright protection in the United States. The published product may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without further permission from GAO. However, because this work may contain copyrighted images or other material, permission from the copyright holder may be necessary if you wish to reproduce this material separately.

---

## GAO's Mission

The Government Accountability Office, the audit, evaluation, and investigative arm of Congress, exists to support Congress in meeting its constitutional responsibilities and to help improve the performance and accountability of the federal government for the American people. GAO examines the use of public funds; evaluates federal programs and policies; and provides analyses, recommendations, and other assistance to help Congress make informed oversight, policy, and funding decisions. GAO's commitment to good government is reflected in its core values of accountability, integrity, and reliability.

---

## Obtaining Copies of GAO Reports and Testimony

The fastest and easiest way to obtain copies of GAO documents at no cost is through GAO's Web site ([www.gao.gov](http://www.gao.gov)). Each weekday afternoon, GAO posts on its Web site newly released reports, testimony, and correspondence. To have GAO e-mail you a list of newly posted products, go to [www.gao.gov](http://www.gao.gov) and select "E-mail Updates."

---

## Order by Phone

The price of each GAO publication reflects GAO's actual cost of production and distribution and depends on the number of pages in the publication and whether the publication is printed in color or black and white. Pricing and ordering information is posted on GAO's Web site, <http://www.gao.gov/ordering.htm>.

Place orders by calling (202) 512-6000, toll free (866) 801-7077, or TDD (202) 512-2537.

Orders may be paid for using American Express, Discover Card, MasterCard, Visa, check, or money order. Call for additional information.

---

## To Report Fraud, Waste, and Abuse in Federal Programs

Contact:

Web site: [www.gao.gov/fraudnet/fraudnet.htm](http://www.gao.gov/fraudnet/fraudnet.htm)

E-mail: [fraudnet@gao.gov](mailto:fraudnet@gao.gov)

Automated answering system: (800) 424-5454 or (202) 512-7470

---

## Congressional Relations

Ralph Dawn, Managing Director, [dawnr@gao.gov](mailto:dawnr@gao.gov), (202) 512-4400  
U.S. Government Accountability Office, 441 G Street NW, Room 7125  
Washington, DC 20548

---

## Public Affairs

Chuck Young, Managing Director, [youngc1@gao.gov](mailto:youngc1@gao.gov), (202) 512-4800  
U.S. Government Accountability Office, 441 G Street NW, Room 7149  
Washington, DC 20548